IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4505 of 2002

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgement? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KETAN CHINUBHAI PATEL

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

MR PRADEEP PATEL for the Petitioner.

MR DN PATEL for Respondent No. 1

..... for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 24/04/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.N.J. Shah, for Mr.D.N. Patel, waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent.

With the consent of the parties, the matter is

taken up for hearing today.

The petitioner is a passport holder. In the original passport, the birth date mentioned is 8th August, 1963, which is on the basis of the School Leaving Certificate. Therafter, the petitioner made an oral representation to the authority on the ground that his correct birth date is 8th October, 1963. For this, the petitioner has relied upon the copy of the extract of the Birth Certificate.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to make a representation to the respondent. If such representation is made by the petitioner, the Authority may decide the representation of the petitioner for correcting the birth date in accordance with law and as per the Rules, and after considering the necessary evidence on record, may pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. From the evidence on record, if the Authority is satisfied that the grievance of the petitioner is justified and the correct birth date of the petitioner is 8.10.1963, then necessary orders correcting the entry may be passed and on that basis, corrected passport may be given to the petitioner. However, it is for the authority to appreciate the evidence and to pass appropriate order in accordance with law. The decision may be taken expeditiously, and preferably, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Since the petitioner at the initial stage has not taken care for giving appropriate birth date, the petitioner is directed to pay costs of Rs.2,000/- to the authority. The said amount may be deposited with the Authority within a period of two weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly allowed. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent, with costs as quantified above.

Direct service is permitted.

24th April, 2002 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

***

(apj)